Latvian Consumers’ Knowledge about Genetically Modified Organisms

The aim of this investigation is to present the results obtained during the survey of Latvian consumers in order to elicit subjective and objective knowledge about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification. The main task was to develop the core questions so that to elicit the objective knowledge of Latvian consumers on genetically modified organisms. The questions were elaborated in cooperation with Latvian scientists in the field of biology and further will be incorporated in the survey on Latvian consumers' attitude regarding the use of genetically modified organisms in food production and other industries where also relationship between the level of the consumers’ knowledge and attitude to acceptance of genetically modified products will be evaluated.
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**Introduction**

The research results show that perceived benefits had the most important influence on consumer purchase decisions, such as environmental impact and health related concerns (Fortin, Renton, 2003). But perception of the possible benefits depends on knowledge of the consumer on a respective topic.

According to A. Kayabasi and B. Mucan (2011), the attitudes and perceptions of the consumers towards genetically modified food have nine dimensions: the perceived environmental risk, the perceived benefit, long-term effects on human health, risk for the world, attitudes towards labeling, attitudes towards purchase, attitudes in terms of cultural-spiritual and moral values, perception of knowledge level and the perceived risk respectively. The most explanatory factor is the possible risks of genetically modified food on human health in the long term. The least explanatory factor solution is the attitudes towards cultural-spiritual and moral values. Additionally another important result provided...
by the research is that the consumers do not have sufficient information regarding genetically modified food. It was found out that consumers have medium level of knowledge about gene technology.

It is not questionable that the level of knowledge plays an important role in consumer decisions. For example according to S. Bonny (2003), acceptability of genetically modified products depends on many factors related to perception of risks and to the importance of benefits that justify or offset them. But risk perception of genetically modified organisms have been extended to a very wide field, including many socio-economic or political aspects, knowledge of the risk and familiarity with it, scientific uncertainty, controversy, delay in the appearance of undesirable consequences, advantages – or disadvantages – for the person exposed etc.

The impact of knowledge on consumer decision and acceptance of genetically modified products is an important issue for different stakeholders: policy makers, agribusinesses, and other parties interested in the acceptance (or rejection) of genetically modified products. When investigating these subjects, it is important to be careful of the differences between objective and subjective knowledge. Both measures may be important factors in willingness to accept new products; however, according to L. House et al. (2004), they may impact acceptance differently.

It is often argued that consumers’ education will improve acceptance of biotechnology (e.g., Hoban and Katic, 1998). For example according to I. Aleksejeva (2014) the most of the EU experts involved in genetically modified organisms’ decision making process are supportive towards the use of genetically modified organisms in food and feed and many of them consider that genetically modified food/feed is as safe as conventional products or more safe than unsafe. The experts are more concerned about cultivation of genetically modified plants as consider they can cause risk to environment.

The assumption of the genetic modification proponents is that, if citizens better understand the science and biotechnology underlying genetically modified food benefits, they are more likely to accept genetically modified food research, development, and commercialization (e.g. Al-lum et al., 2002; Evans and Durant, 1995). Although other research, especially in Europe (e.g. Gaskell et al., 2003), has called into question this understandable inference from the knowledge deficit model.

To improve understanding and acceptance of biotechnology it is necessary to find the balance between credible and science-based information, then communicating this information through a variety of channels. The impact of knowledge on consumers’ acceptance of genetically modified products has been measured in a number of studies with contradictory results. One potential explanation for the existing differences is the manner in which knowledge is measured. (House et al, 2004).

However, the level of knowledge of the consumers regarding genetic engineering varies in different parts of the world. For example, according to the investigation of Z. Xiaoyong et al. (2010) a basic quiz of six questions concerning biotechnologies was given to respondents in China, the US and the EU. By comprising the test results among these consumers it was concluded that there are statistical differences between Chinese consumers and EU consumers indicating that Chinese consumers are more knowledgeable regarding issues concerning biotechnology than
their EU counterparts whilst remaining less knowledgeable about biotechnology issues than consumers in the US. It remains a universal phenomenon that consumers have very limited knowledge regarding biotechnology. This suggested that consumers’ attitudes toward genetically modified foods could be influenced by new information supplied and knowledge gained.

The impact of knowledge on decision-making, and the measurement of this variable, has long been a subject in marketing literature. C. W. Park and V. P. Les-sig (1981) identify two major approaches for measuring product familiarity: one measuring how much a person knows about the product and the other measuring how much a person thinks they know about a product. Similarly, M. Brucks (1985) describes three categories of consumer product class knowledge used in consumer behavior research: subjective knowledge, the individual’s perception of how much s/he knows; objective knowledge, a measure what an individual actually knows; and prior experience, the amount of purchasing or usage experience the consumer has with the product. However, according to M. Brucks (1985) experience-based measures of knowledge are less directly linked to behaviour.

Research results and discussion

When we consider decision making regarding the purchasing of genetically modified products we can presume that this decision is impacted by the consumers’ subjective knowledge about genetically modified organisms and awareness (labelling) regarding these products. In the EU the labelling of genetically modified products is mandatory and each consumer has the freedom of choice to purchase such products or not.

Previous researchers have asserted that subjective knowledge is a stronger motivator of consumer behavior than objective knowledge.

C. V. Park et al. (1994) found that product-related experience is more strongly related to subjective knowledge than objective knowledge.

P. S. Raju et al. (1995) found that, of the three types of knowledge (subjective knowledge, objective knowledge, and usage experience), subjective knowledge is the most closely associated with purchase decision satisfaction. This is because those who have greater subjective knowledge are likely to feel less confused and more certain about the quality of their choice, implying that subjective knowledge is an important factor in consumer decision making.

In the list of the articles and literature on consumers’ attitude and acceptance of genetically modified organisms the significant difference is observable concerning the impact of the consumers’ knowledge on such attitude or acceptance. One of the explanations for such difference could be the method of the measurement of the consumers’ knowledge and therefore it is important to analyse both objective (what exactly the consumer knows), and subjective (what the consumer thinks he knows) knowledge.

For example, according to C. W. Park et al. (1994) objective knowledge is defined as accurate information regarding the product class stored in long-term memory, while subjective knowledge is an individual’s perceptions of what or how much he or she knows about a product class, also known as self-assessed knowledge.
According to findings of R. F. Durant and J. S. Legge (2005) the EU citizens with higher objective scientific knowledge about genetically modified foods are the most conflicted in their attitudes. Thus, instead of greater scientific knowledge enhancing support for genetically modified foods, respondents with greater knowledge seem less able to decide one way or the other. American scientists admonish that this finding does not necessarily prove that, as consumers receive more information about the scientific and biotechnological foundations of genetically modified food benefits, they will be more or less supportive of genetically modified foods, or that they will become more conflicted about them. The scientists are sure that such a conclusion requires a series of pre-test/post-test experimental designs with comparison groups.

According to I. B. Christoph et al. (2008) knowledge is also often found to influence the attitudes of the consumers towards genetically modified organisms. However, the direction of influence remains unclear. Analysing the list of the studies it is possible to conclude that the results are contradictory. For example in 1997 Hoban wrote that the low acceptance for genetically modified products is a result of consumers’ little knowledge about biotechnology. The same conclusions were made by P. Ganiere, et al. (2006) and S. Ghasemi et al. (2013). Although in the findings of J. Scholderer and L. J. Frewer (2003) it was concluded that additional information decreases acceptance. These results are in accord with results in B. Onyango et al. (2004), who found that people with good technological knowledge are more likely to be opponents of biotechnolog-

ogy. While M. Rodríguez-Entrena and M. Salazar-Ordóñez (2013) affirm that among a great deal of literature that has been studied relating cognitive and attitudinal factors conditioning consumers’ acceptance of genetically modified food, knowledge being one of the most inconsistent variables.

But according to Hyun Joung Jin and Dae Hee Han (2014) people with less knowledge are more likely to panic due to mass media reports regarding a food hazard issue. More informed consumers have less dramatic responses to food safety issues compared to less informed people.

Although according to H. I. Miller and G. Conko (2000) concern as regards genetically modified organisms cannot simply be imputed to a lack of knowledge in biology, as many actors arguing for better education of the public have done. To elicit Latvian consumers’ knowledge on genetically modified products, the questions were conducted in cooperation with Latvian scientists in the field of biology. The main aim of the survey was to obtain data to measure level of Latvian consumers on domain of interest and to find the difference between objective and subjective knowledge of the consumers.

To obtain this goal based on the literature on consumer perception of risks and benefits the series of 8 true/false questions were elaborated to measure the objective knowledge of the consumers.

The respondents (randomly selected from all age groups and with different education level) were asked to answer the questions. The data obtained are presented in Table 1. The table lists the item along with the percentage of respondents who gave the
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Percentage correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Toxic and allergic reaction can be caused by both GM food, and any other food</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Tomatoes modified with fish genes taste fishy</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Every day a man with food takes a lot of different foreign genes</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>It is not possible to transfer animal genes to plants</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>By eating genetically modified tomato, a person's genes could also be changed</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Genetically modified animals always are bigger than ordinary one</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Ordinary tomato does not contain genes, but genetically modified tomato does</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Genetically modified food genes can get into the human generative cells and can be passed to future generations</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the author’s elaborated questions based on the results obtained from the survey in February, 2014.

The correct answer. Some of the items are disappointing in terms of the objective knowledge exhibited. For example, a half (50.0 %) of the sample believe that ‘ordinary tomato does not contain genes, but genetically modified tomato does’ and only 31.8 % knows that ‘by eating genetically modified food genes cannot get into the human generative cells and be passed to future generations’. On the other hand the respondents were well informed (95.5 %) that ‘toxic and allergic reaction can be caused by both genetically modified food, and any other food’ and that ‘tomatoes modified with fish genes don’t taste fishy’ (90.9 %).

To measure subjective knowledge the respondents were asked to rate their knowledge using the scale from 1 to 10 about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification, where 1 – not at all knowledgeable and 10 – extremely knowledgeable.

The data were collected on responses to 10 point scale (Figure 1). According to the data obtained during the pilot test it is not possible to find out now the subjective knowledge of Latvians in general as the number of the respondents are not representative, but as we can see from the pilot test the respondents were enough critical to assess the level of their knowledge. The most of the respondents (22.7 %) assessed their knowledge about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification with 6 and it is the highest rate among the respondents. 18.2 % of the respondents assessed their knowledge with 1, 3, 4 and 5.

In the further investigation performing the survey on Latvian consumers’ attitude regarding the use of genetically modified organisms in food production and other industries it will be appropriate to collect data about the education, age, religion and location of the respondents to obtain more detailed and comparative results regarding level of objective and subjective knowledge about genetically modified organisms.

Table 1
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modified organisms and genetic modification among Latvians.

It is also important to note that consumers are particularly conservative when it comes to perception and acceptance of foods compared to other products. Benefit-risk evaluations tend to be skewed towards acceptance of all that is traditional and well-known (benefits), and rejection or suspicion towards anything that is novel or highly processed (risks) regardless of actual risk (Ueland et al., 2012).

As it is shown in Table 2 the resources from which information on possible benefits and risks of genetically modified organisms is obtained mostly are the internet followed by TV and then Radio. The resource from which information is obtained the least has been determined as books and scientific papers. No one of the respondents indicated informative seminars and events as the resource of the information.

It is important to mention that just 13.6 % of the respondents use scientific

**Fig. 1.** Subjective knowledge of genetic modification. Respondents answered the following question: “How knowledgeable would you say you are about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification?”

**Source:** elaborated by the author based on the results obtained from the survey in February, 2014.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Information resources</th>
<th>Share of respondents (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Internet resources</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>TV</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Friends, relatives and acquaintances</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Newspapers and magazines</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Books</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Scientific papers</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Informative events and seminars</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** elaborated by the author based on the results obtained from the survey in February, 2014.
papers to obtain information about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification which we can presume as scientifically proved and objective. Other resources of the information we cannot presume as objective information but just interpretation of the media and subjective attitude of the people. It is a very important aspect when we analyse the subjective knowledge of the respondents.

Conclusions

This paper represents the research investigating the level of the knowledge of Latvians in the field of genetically modified organisms and genetic modification.

The review of the literature regarding relationship between knowledge and acceptance of genetically modified products is provided and it is evident that consumers are particularly conservative when it comes to perception and acceptance of genetically modified organisms and their choice is based on their presumed risk/benefit evaluation.

In collaboration with Latvian scientists in the field of biology 8 true/false questions were developed to elicit the objective knowledge of Latvian consumers regarding genetically modified organisms and genetic modification.

In the further investigation performing the survey on Latvian consumers’ attitude regarding the use of genetically modified organisms in food production and other industries it will be appropriate to collect data about the education, age, religion and location of the respondents to obtain more detailed and comparative results regarding level of objective and subjective knowledge about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification among Latvians.

Just 13.6% of the respondents use scientific papers to obtain information about genetically modified organisms and genetic modification which we can presumed as scientifically proved and objective. Other resources of the information we can’t presume as objective information but just interpretation of the media and subjective attitude of the people.

The elaborated survey further will be incorporated in the survey on Latvian consumer attitude regarding the use of genetically modified organisms in food production and other industries to find relationship between level of the knowledge and support of genetically modified products among Latvian consumers.
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Inese ALEKSEJEVA

LATVIJOS VARTOTOJŲ ŽINIOS APIE GENETIŠKAI MODIFIKUOTUS ORGANIZMUS

S antrauka

Latvijoje tam tikros vartotojų grupės ypač domisi genetiškai modifikuotų organizmų tema ir yra tokų vartotojų, kurie šiai problematikai yra ypač jautrūs. Latvija yra viena iš labiausiai konservatyvių šalių pasaulyje dėl savo požiūrio į produktus gautus panaudojant genų modifikaciją, ir šis požiūris daugiausia yra susijęs su baime ir subjektyviu įsitikinimu, kad genetiškai modifikuoti organizmai yra pavojingi bei rizikingi žmonių sveikatai.

Tyrinėjant mokslinę literatūrą, kuri analizuoją vartotojų požiūrį ir palankumą genetiškai modifikuotiems organizmams, ypač išryškėja skirtumai susiję su vartotojų turimomis žiniomis ir informacija apie genetiškai modifikuotus produktus, kurios ir lemia tam tikrus įsitikinimus. Vienas iš būdų paaiskinti tokius požiūrius skirtumus gali būti vartotojų žinų įvertinimo metodas, todėl būtina analizuoti tiek objektyvias žinias (kas tiksliai vartotojai žino), tiek subjektyvias (kas vartotojai galvoja, kad jie žino).

Šio tyrinio tikslas yra pristatyti rezultatus, kurie buvo gauti apie Latvijos vartotojus, siekiant išsiaiškinti jų subjektyvias ir objektyvias žinias apie genetiškai modifikuotus organizmus bei genetišką modifikaciją.

Pagrindinė užduotis buvo suformuluoti esminius klausimus, kurie leistų išsiaiškinti Latvijos vartotojų objektyvias žinias apie genetiškai modifikuotus organizmus. Klausimai apklausoje pasirinkti bendradarbiaujant su Latvijos biologijos srities mokslininkas jie taip pat bus įtraukti į apklausą tariant Latvijos vartotojų požiūrį į modifikuotų organizmų panaudojimą maisto gamyboje ir kitose pramonės šakose, kuris irgi siekia įvertinti ryšį tarp vartotojų žinių ir požiūrio į genetiškai modifikuotus produktus.

Siekiant išsikeltu tikslu buvo pasirinktos ir suformuluotos 8 teisingų ir klaidingų klausimų serijos, leidžiančios įvertinti objektyvias vartotojų žinias. Respondentai, kurie buvo parinkti atsitiktine tvarka, įtraukiant visas amžiaus grupes su skirtinę išsilavinimą, turėjo atsakyti į klausimus. Siekiant įvertinti subjektyvias žinias respondentų buvo paprašyta įvertinti savo žinias apie genetišką modifikuotus organizmus ir genų modifikaciją skaičiuo nuo 1 iki 5, kur 1 reiškė „visiškai nežinau“ ir 5 – „labai gerai žinau“.

Bendradarbiaujant su Latvijos biologijos srities mokslininkais buvo suformuluoti 8 teisingų ir klaidingų klausimų serijos, siekiant įvertinti objektyvias Latvijos vartotojų žinias apie genetiškai modifikuotus organizmus ir genų modifikaciją. Pirmieji apklausos rezultatai, vertinantys Latvijos vartotojų žinių lygi apie genetiškai modifikuotus organizmus, buvo gauti 2014 m. vasario mėn., kai buvo atliktas bandomasis Latvijos vartotojų testas.

Kai kurie gauti atsakymų rezultatai, demonstruoja objektyvias žinias, kelia nusivylimą. Pavyzdžiui, puse tyrimo respondentų (50 proc.) įsitikinę, kad „papraastos pomidoras neturi genų, tačiau genetiškai modifikuotas pomidoras turi genus“ ir tik 31,8 proc. apklausučių žino, kad „valgant genetiškai modifikuotą maštą, genai negali prasiskverbt į žmogaus generatyvinės laštelės ir persišvystyti ateities kartoms“. Kita vertus, respondentai gerai žinojo (95,5 proc.), kad „toksine ir alergine reakcijai gali sukelti tiek genetiškai modifikuotas maistas, tiek bet koks kitas maistas“ ir, jog „modifikuotas pomidoras su žuvies genais nera žuvies skonio“ (90,9 proc.).

Remiantis gautais bandomojo testo duomenimis dabar negalima apibendrinti Latvijos
gyventojų nuomonės apskritai, kadangi respondentų skaičius yra nerepresentatyvus, tačiau galima matyti, jog respondentai buvo pakankamai kritiški vertindami savo žinias apie genetiškai modifikuotus produktus. Dauguma respondentų (22,7 proc.) savo žinias apie genetiškai modifikuotus organizmus ir genų modifikaciją įvertino 6 balais ir tai yra didžiausias įvertinimas. 18,2 proc. respondentų savo žinias įvertino 1, 3, 4 ir 5 balais.

Svarbu pažymėti, kad tik 13,6 proc. respondentų žinias apie genetiškai modifikuotus organizmus ir genų modifikaciją semiasi iš mokslinių straipsnių, kuriuos mes galime vertinti kaip mokslininkų pagrįstus ir objektyvius. Kitų informacijos šaltinių mes negalime vertinti kaip objektyvios informacijos, bet tik kaip žiniasklaidos interpretaciją ir subjektyvų žmonių nuomonę.

Detalizuota apklausa ateityje bus įtraukta į kitą tyrimą – Latvijos vartotojų požiūris į modifikuotų organizmų panaudojimą maisto gamyboje ir kitose pramonės šakose – siekiant įvertinti ryšį tarp vartotojų žinių ir požiūrio į genetiškai modifikuotus produktus.

Ateities tyrimai turėtų orientuotis į detalnesnių duomenų apie respondentų išsilavinimą, amžių, religiją ir gyvenamają vietą surinkimą, siekiant gauti daugiau išsamesnių ir palyginamųjų rezultatų apie Latvijos gyventojų objektyvias ir subjektyvias žinias susijusias su genetiškai modifikuotus organizmais ir genų modifikacija.